

LEARNING HUMAN POSES IN NATURAL SCENES

Guanghan Ning

Advisor: Zhihai He EECS Department

Email: gnxr9@mail.missouri.edu

Focus of This Talk



Introduction

Summarize Previous Work

- Human Detection and Tracking
- Single-person Human Pose Estimation
- Human Pose Estimation with Adversarial Training
- Multi-person Human Pose Estimation: PoseTrack Challenge



Chapter. 1 Introduction



- Sports Video Analytics
- Video Surveillance
- □ Activity Recognition
- Human-Computer Interaction

🖵 etc...









Early Works: Pictorial Structure Models











□ Recent Works: Convolutional Neural Networks









Top-down Approach



Detect persons

Estimating poses





Convolutional Neural Networks
 Generic Object Detection
 From Proposal To Regression
 Human Pose Estimation



Introduction



Convolutional Neural Networks

Motivations

- Do not need careful hand-design
- Allow a machine to automatically discover the representations needed for specific task
- Do not need domain expertise
- Great feature representation capacity



Introduction



Convolutional Neural Networks Basic Building Blocks

- Convolutional Layer
- Pooling Layer
- Fully-connected Layer

Basic Architecture









Convolutional Neural Networks

Inference / Forward



Learning / Training







Generic Object Detection

R-CNN: Regions with CNN features







Generic Object Detection







Generic Object Detection



Introduction



□ From Proposal to Regression

 Region Proposal v.s Unified Network (Classification v.s Regression) (R-CNN variants v.s YOLO/SSD)



Introduction



Human Pose Estimation

- Naturally a regression problem
- Coordinates v.s Heatmaps





Chapter. 2 Human Detection and Tracking



□ Problem Definition

 Visual Object Tracking: the process of localizing a single target in a video or sequential images, given the target position in the first frame.





□ Significance

- It has a wide range of applications such as motion analysis, activity recognition, surveillance, and humancomputer interaction.
- It can be a prerequisite or a necessary component of another system.



Appearance Variations:

- Target deformations
- Fast and abrupt motion
- Scale changes
- Background Clutters

2. Occlusion

3. Difficulties Introduced by Camera

- Uneven lighting, Illumination
- Blur, Low resolution
- Perspective distortion



Diving

Human4

DEF

Matrix

BC

IV, SV, OCC,

FM, IPR, OPR,

Skating2 [1,2]

IV, SV, OCC,





DU, LR

Crowds

IV. DEF. BC

Freeman4

OPR

Jump

OPR

SV, OCC, DEF,

SV. OCC. IPR.

David

OPR

Girl

OPR

Jumping

MB, FM

IV. SV. OCC.

DEF. MB. IPR.

SV. OCC. IPR.

Couple SV. DEF. FM. OPR, BC



Football OCC. IPR. OPR. BC



Ironman IV, SV, OCC, MB, FM, IPR, OPR, OV, MB, FM, IPR, BC, LR







RedTeam SV. OCC. IPR. OPR, LR BC



Singer2 IV. SV. IPR. OPR. IV. DEF. IPR. OPR, BC



Deer

BC, LR

Human3

OPR, BC

Liquor

OV. BC

IV, SV, OCC,

MB, FM, OPR,

SV. OCC, DEF.

MB. FM. IPR.

SV. OCC. DEF. DEF, OPR, BC FM. OPR





DragonBaby SV. DEF. IPR SV, OCC, MB, FM. IPR. OPR.

٥V

Skiing



Dudek

SV. OCC. DEF.

FM, IPR, OPR,

Human6 Human9 SV, OCC, DEF, IV, SV, DEF, FM. OPR. OV MB, FM



MotorRolling Panda IV, SV, MB, FM, IPR, BC, LR LR



Soccer

IV. SV. DEF. IPR, OPR OPR, BC

SV, OCC, DEF, IPR, OPR, OV,



IV. SV. OCC. MB, FM, IPR, • OV: Out-of-View • BC: **Background Clutters**

Low Resolution • LR:

OTB is one of the most commonly used datasets. Each video is annotated with one or more attributes:

- IV: Illumination Variation
- SV: Scale Variation
- OCC: Occlusion
- DEF: Deformation
- MB: Motion Blur
- FM: Fast Motion
- IPR: **In-plane Rotation**
- OPR: **Out-of-Plane Rotation**



Evaluation (OPE)

- Average Precision
- AUC of a Success Plot







□ Major Related Works

YOLO









- Existing Tracking Methods do not handle full occlusion very well
- Existing Tracking Methods based on CNN is slow. (1~2 fps)
- No RNN-based tracking method on real data had been proposed





□ Simplified Overview





Architecture



4/24/2018 10:36 PM



□ Simple loss Functions

$$L_{MSE} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} ||B_{target} - B_{pred}||_{2}^{2},$$

$$L_{MSE} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} ||H_{target} - H_{pred}||_{2}^{2},$$





Qualitative Results for Sequences





Qualitative Results over time





□ Spatio-temporal Robustness against Occlusion





□ ROLO is effective due to several reasons:

- (1) the representation power of the high-level visual features from convNets,
- (2) the feature interpretation power of LSTM, therefore the ability to detect visual objects,
- (3) spatially supervised by a location or heatmap vector,
- (3) the capability of LSTM in regressing effectively with spatio-temporal information.





Performance



 Due to fast motions, occlusions, and therefore poor detections, YOLO with the kalman filter perform inferiorly lacking knowledge of the visual context.

 LSTM is capable of regressing both visual context and location histories, performing better than [YOLO + Kalman]

Area Under Curve (AUC) score reflected on right-top.



□ Summary of Contributions

•Our proposed ROLO method extends the deep neural network learning and analysis into the spatiotemporal domain. It is the first work that proposes to incorporate CNN and LSTM for object tracking.

•We have studied LSTM's interpretation and regression capabilities of high-level visual features.

•Our proposed tracker is both spatially and temporally deep, and can effectively tackle problems of major occlusion and severe motion blur.



Chapter. 3 Single-Person Human Pose Estimation

Single-Person Human Pose Estimation

MU

Contents

- 0. Problem Definition
- 1. Evaluation Criterion
- 2. Datasets
- 3. Benchmarks
- 4. Our Performance
- 5. The Proposed Method
 - 5.1 Overview
 - 5.2 Implementation Details
- 6. Qualitative Results
- 7. Discussion
MU

Problem Definition

2D vs 3D Image vs Video Single-person vs Multi-Person

Original image —







Evaluation Criterion

(1) PCK Measure: (Percentage of Correct Keypoints)

(2) PCKh Measure

- a specific kind of <u>PCK</u> measure that uses the matching threshold as a certain percentage of the head segment length.
- (3) AUC (Area Under Curve)
 - Draw a curve with different $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ values, calculate the area under curve





(1) MPII



- □ 25,000 images
- 40,000 people with annotated joints
- □ 410 human activities

of joints: 16



(2) LSP







Parkour



1000 training images And 10000 extended images

1000 testing images

of joints: 14





Related Works

Methods	School / Lab	Publication	Similarity	Differences
СРМ	Carnegie Mellon	CVPR 2016	Fully Convolutional; Implemented in Caffe	Everything else
Hourglass	University of Michigan	ECCV 2016	Hourglass design	They use resnet as basic module; We propose a more robust module as the basic building block
Part Heatmap Regression	University of Nottingham	ECCV 2016	Improve on Limbs	They focus on part detection to aid heatmap regression; We focus on implicitly learning better limb prior.





□ Major Contributions

- We designed a novel building block for more robust feature representation
- We proposed a novel feature injection technique to guide the CNN model to learn limb prior
- We proposed a novel 3D cross-heatmap NMS technique for human pose estimation





□ (1) Performance on MPII dataset

Method	Head	Sho.	Elb.	Wri.	Hip	Knee	Ank.	Total	-
Ours	98.1	96.3	92.2	87.8	90.6	87.6	82.7	91.2	-
Newell et al., ECCV'16[55]	98.2	96.3	91.2	87.1	90.1	87.4	83.6	90.9	Hourglass (Michigan)
Bulat&Tzimiropoulos, ECCV'16 [84]	97.9	95.1	89.9	85.3	89.4	85.7	81.7	89.7	Part Heatmap Regression
Wei et al., CVPR'16 [81]	97.8	95.0	88.7	84.0	88.4	82.8	79.4	88.5	CPM (CMU)
Insafutdinov et al., ECCV'16 [76]	96.8	95.2	89.3	84.4	88.4	83.4	78.0	88.5	
Rafi et al., BMVC'16 [97]	97.2	93.9	86.4	81.3	86.8	80.6	73.4	86.3	
Gkioxary et al., ECCV'16 [98]	96.2	93.1	86.7	82.1	85.2	81.4	74.1	86.1	
Lifshitz et al., ECCV'16 [99]	97.8	93.3	85.7	80.4	85.3	76.6	70.2	85.0	
Pishchulin et al., CVPR'16 [75]	94.1	90.2	83.4	77.3	82.6	75.7	68.6	82.4	
Hu&Ramanan, CVPR'16 [100]	95.0	91.6	83.0	76.6	81.9	74.5	69.5	82.4	
Tompson et al., CVPR'15 [74]	96.1	91.9	83.9	77.8	80.9	72.3	64.8	82.0	
Carreira et al., CVPR'16 [82]	95.7	91.7	81.7	72.4	82.8	73.2	66.4	81.3	
Tompson et al., NIPS'14 [54]	95.8	90.3	80.5	74.3	77.6	69.7	62.8	79.6	
Pishchulin et al., ICCV'13 [65]	74.3	49.0	40.8	34.1	36.5	34.4	35.2	44.1	_

Table 3.2: Comparisons of PCKh@0.5 score on the MPII test set.



□ (2) Performance on LSP dataset

Method	Head	Sho.	Elb.	Wri.	Hip	Knee	Ank.	Total	-
Ours	98.2	94.4	91.8	89.3	94.7	95.0	93.5	93.9	_
Bulat&Tzimiropoulos. ECCV'16 [84],	97.2	92.1	88.1	85.2	92.2	91.4	88.7	90.7	Part Heatmap Regression
Wei et al. CVPR'16 [81],	97.8	92.5	87.0	83.9	91.5	90.8	89.9	90.5	CPM (CMU)
Insafutdinov et al. ECCV'16 [76],	97.4	92.7	87.5	84.4	91.5	89.9	87.2	90.1	DeeperCut
Pishchulin et al. CVPR'16 [75],	97.0	91.0	83.8	78.1	91.0	86.7	82.0	87.1	
Lifshitz et al. ECCV'16 [99],	96.8	89.0	82.7	79.1	90.9	86.0	82.5	86.7	
Belagiannis&Zisserman FG'17 [80],	95.2	89.0	81.5	77.0	83.7	87.0	82.8	85.2	
Yu et al. ECCV'16 [101],	87.2	88.2	82.4	76.3	91.4	85.8	78.7	84.3	
Rafi et al. BMVC'16 [97],	95.8	86.2	79.3	75.0	86.6	83.8	79.8	83.8	
Yang et al. CVPR'16 [102],	90.6	78.1	73.8	68.8	74.8	69.9	58.9	73.6	
Chen&Yuille NIPS'14 [73],	91.8	78.2	71.8	65.5	73.3	70.2	63.4	73.4	
Fan et al. CVPR'15 [103],	92.4	75.2	65.3	64.0	76.7	68.3	70.4	73.0	
Tompson et al. NIPS'14 [54],	90.6	79.2	67.9	63.4	69.5	71.0	64.2	72.3	
Pishchulin et al. ICCV'13 [65],	87.2	56.7	46.7	38.0	61.0	57.5	52.7	57.1	
Wang&Li et al. CVPR'13 [104],	84.7	57.1	43.7	36.7	56.7	52.4	50.8	54.6	_

Table 3.3: Comparisons of PCK@0.2 score on the LSP test set.

□ (2) Performance on LSP dataset



Figure 3.9: Person-Centric (PC) PCK curves on the LSP test set. Ours is on top.

4/24/2018 10:36 PM





Motivation





Methodology



4/24/2018 10:36 PM



Methodology





Overview of the Network





□ Mid-level Abstraction: Hourglass Design





□ Basic Module: Inception-resnet module



4/24/2018 10:36 PM



Loss Functions

$$W_f^* \leftarrow \underset{W_f}{\operatorname{arg min}} (\lambda \times \mathcal{L}_{KP} + (1 - \lambda) \times \mathcal{L}_f)$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_{KP} = ||K - W \times H_J||_2^2 + \beta \times ||W||_2^2$$
$$\mathcal{L}_f = \sum_{j \in J} ||H_j(p) - H_j^*(p)||_2^2$$





Cross-Heatmap Non-maximum Suppression

- For each heatmap channel, detect blobs
- Rank all of them to a list by confidence. From the top blob:
 - Make this blob the final detection of its heatmap
 - Suppress other blobs from the same heatmap
 - Suppress blobs from other heatmap channels that are close to this blob in image coordinate system
- Until no blobs can be further removed
- If a channel has no blob left, find the maximum pixel in
- ⁵³ this heatmap



□ Cross-Heatmap Non-maximum Suppression

Results are from our earliest poor pose estimator











Implementation Details

- Preprocessing
 - Input image is normalized by mean subtraction at each channel
 - Data augmentation by: rotation, flipping, cropping
- Training Details
 - RMSProp Optimization
 - Learning rate = 10⁴, then step-decrease to 10⁻⁶
 - Momentum (not applicable)
 - Batchsize = 12
 - Epoches >= 300
 - Heatmap: Gaussian with variance of 1.3
 - Weight gradient responses on background and joints, otherwise the network converge to zero.
- Training time:
 - 3 days to reach 93.9% (4 TITAN X)



Ablation Study

Method	Head	Sho.	Elb.	Wri.	Hip	Knee	Ank.	Total
Hourglass	97.0	93.0	88.8	85.6	92.2	93.0	90.9	91.5
Ours (no guidance)	97.9	93.2	89.1	86.4	94.5	93.8	92.9	92.6
Ours (with guidance)	98.2	94.4	91.8	89.3	94.7	95.0	93.5	93.9
Plain testing	97.4	92.7	88.8	86.7	92.2	93.8	92.2	92.0
+ flipping	97.7	93.3	90.4	87.5	93.2	94.2	92.8	92.7
+ scaling	98.1	93.7	91.3	88.7	94.0	94.6	93.2	93.4
+ 3D-NMS	98.2	94.4	91.8	89.3	94.7	95.0	93.5	93.9

Table 3.1: Component analysis on the LSP Dataset of PCK@0.2 score. Note that numbers in bold indicate the method has employed all techniques during testing.



Qualitative Results of MPII



4/24/2018 10:36 PM

Ň

□ Qualitative Results of LSP



4/24/2018 10:36 PM



Chapter. 4

Human Pose Estimation with Adversarial Training



Remaining Problems



HPE with Adversarial TrainingMotivation



- For the first kind of failure, full occlusion of 2 or more adjacent body parts are hard to recover, as visual information from the RGB image is inadequate to resolve the ambiguity.
- For the second kind of failure, the mistakes are partly due to the body part noises from other persons and partly due to the occlusion of a single body part. These weak ambiguities can surely be mitigated with proper pose prior.





□ Introduction to GAN

 $\min_{G} \max_{D} V(G, D) = \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{x} \sim p_{\text{data}}(\boldsymbol{x})} [\log D(\boldsymbol{x})] + \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{z} \sim p_{\boldsymbol{z}}(\boldsymbol{z})} [\log(1 - D(G(\boldsymbol{z})))].$





□ Introduction to cGAN



Introduction to cGAN

Image-to-image: Pixel Level Translation



G tries to synthesize fake images that fool **D**

D tries to identify the fakes







(1) Proposed Method



Keypoints Synthetic Ground Truth Pose Condition RGB image Pose Estimation Visual Condition Training Testing Synthetic User-given Pose Condition Keypoints ٢x, X, X = Xd RGB image Pose Estimation Visual Condition 🗸



(2) Modules with Input and Output Details:





(3) Modules with Implementation Details:





(3) Modules with Implementation Details (Continued):





Mitigated Results





Pose-Conditioned Image Synthesis Results







Semantic Segmentation and Human Parsing







Parsing-Conditioned Image Synthesis Results



4/24/2018 10:36 PM
HPE with Adversarial Training



Parsing results comparison: Original image VS synthetic image



4/24/2018 10:36 PM

HPE with Adversarial Training



Parsing results on ATR test set:

Table 4.2 :	Per-class-accuracy of	on the ATR test set.
	•	

Method	Hat	Hair	Sunglass	Upper	\mathbf{Skirt}	Pants	Dress	Belt	L-shoe	R-shoe	Face	L-leg	R-leg	L-arm	R-arm	Bag	\mathbf{Scarf}	Avg
Ours	85.67	85.33	81.47	87.91	85.03	84.44	72.99	61.32	70.93	74.50	87.83	81.38	82.32	82.65	87.52	83.32	56.24	79.46
PSPNet, CVPR'17 [152]	81.71	83.64	77.70	87.44	77.33	84.22	76.66	61.60	67.95	60.40	88.89	76.69	83.52	78.84	80.33	85.61	50.75	76.66
CO-CNN, ICCV'15 [135]	72.07	86.33	72.81	85.72	70.82	83.05	69.95	37.66	76.48	76.8	89.02	85.49	85.23	84.16	84.04	81.51	44.94	75.65





Chapter. 5

Multi-person Human Pose Estimation: PoseTrack Challenge



□ Related Work: PAF



Part affinity fields (PAF) [7] for multi-person human pose estimation. An entire image is taken as the input for a two-branch CNN to jointly predict confidence maps for body part detection, shown in (b), and part affinity fields for parts association, shown in (c). The parsing step performs a set of bipartite matchings to associate body parts candidates (d). These body parts candidates are finally assembled into full body poses for all people in the image (e).



□ Related Work: Dual-path networks



Architecture comparison of different networks. (a) The residual network. (b) The densely connected network, where each layer can access the outputs of all previous micro-blocks. Here, a 1×1 convolutional layer (underlined) is added for consistency with the micro-block design in (a). (c) By sharing the first 1×1 connection of the same output across micro-blocks in (b), the densely connected network degenerates to a residual network. The dotted rectangular in (c) highlights the residual unit. (d) The proposed dual path architecture, DPN. (e) An equivalent form of (d) from the perspective of implementation, where the symbol "?" denotes a split operation, and "+" denotes element-wise addition.



PoseTrack Challenge Results

Challenge 1: Single-Frame Person Pose Estimation

No.	Entry	MF	Track	AP	Primary Affiliation
1	FractalNet	Ν	Ν	62.4151	University of Missouri-Columbia
2	SOPT-PT	Ν	Y	62.4764	Hikvision Research Institue
3	ML_Lab	Ν	Y	70.3338	Samsung Research Beijing
4	ProTracker	Ν	Y	59.5597	CMU
5	SSDHG	Ν	Ν	60.0265	South China University of Technology
6	NTHU-test	Ν	Ν	38.1329	National Tsing Hua University
7	ICG	Ν	Y	51.1658	Graz University of Technology
8	BUTDS	N	Ν	64.4616	The Chinese University of Hong Kong

Proposed Network



4/24/2018 10:36 PM

□ Proposed DPN Block





PoSeg: Pose & Segmentation



Human pose: heatmaps & part affinity fields

Human Segmentation

HPE with Adversarial Training



Human Parsing



Human pose

4/24/2018 10:36 PM

Human Pose Estimation and Applications

What is Human Pose Estimation?

> Detect the keypoints of human joints



PoSeg Network Results



Guanghan Ning, JD.COM

• Innovative PoSeg Network: Joint Pose & Segmentation

(1). Train a single joint network that does two jobs, designed for higher speed and used for AR / mobile applications.

Model training

- > PoSeg on Tensorflow
- > Nvidia TITANx2 GPUs
- Only 50ms inference
- Single backbone
- (2). Train Human Pose Estimation to aid Human Parsing, aiming at higher accuracy and used for fashion clothing item segmentation and retrieval.
 - Model training PoSeg on Pytorch
 - Nvidia P40x4 GPUs
 - Two backbones

AR / Mobile Applications

(1) WingAdder: > Add Special Effects



(2) Thinner:> Make Person Look Thinner



Pose Estimation DEMO



□ Video Demo: <u>https://youtu.be/f5hbo7lnuLl</u>







THANK YOU!

